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The meeting was called to order by Chairman Williamson. The minutes of the
November 30 meeting were approved with the following change: Mr. Rudy Mancke of
the Museum Commission represented Mr. David Sennema.

Dr. William Abbott, Staff Geologist for the State Development Board and Mr. Larry
Cartee, Executive Assistant for the Wildlife Department, were introduced.

Since there was no formal set of agenda, the discussion was wide-ranging, dealing
mostly with the goals and objectives of the Heritage Trust Program and the Heritage
Trust Advisory Board. At the end of the discussion it was agreed that progress had
been made in addressing several fundamental concerns. In order to more clearly set
forth the key points raised, the record of discussion is organized below under sev­
eral subject headings, rather than in chronological order. Items requiring action
are underlined.

CRITERIA FOR CULTURAL AREAS—
The concepts of rarity, significance, and degree of endangerment were endorsed with
some reservations concerning their applicability to cultural areas in the form pre­sented by staff. Mr. Lee mentioned that the National Register of Historic Places and
other existing programs afford adequate protection to most historic buildings, but the
Heritage Trust is the only means of protecting culturally significant open space,
such as the Ashley River Road corridor. As a first step in sharpening the focus on
cultural areas, Mr. Lee and Dr. Stephenson agreed to submit lists of proposed priority
projects at the next meeting. Dr. Abbott also agreed to submit such a list for geolo­
gical areas. Staff agreed to update the proposed list of natural area projects.

It was also recognized that cultural protection projects have not been advanced as
energetically as natural projects due to a lack of expertise in cultural subjects with­
in the Wildlife and Marine Resources Department. To correct this situation, staff is
to investigate the possibility of securing federal funds for added staff for the appro­
priate agencies.

THE ROLE OF THE ADVISORY BOARD—
There was strong agreement that the Board should take an active role in advancing the
goals of the Heritage Trust and should actively pursue the protection of priority areas.
Several activities to increase the Board's involvement were suggested:

1. More active communication with the legislature, Governor, and Commissions of various stage agencies. A presentation to each Commission represented on the Advisory Board was suggested. Agencies represented on the Board were asked to submit the name of a staff person to serve as liaison to the Wildlife Department Staff. The Board agreed that an annual report to the Governor should be prepared, separate from the Wildlife Department's report.

2. Find local avenues of support for priority projects. For example:
   a) U.S. Soil Conservation Service, through its Resource Conservation and Development Projects
   b) The Historic Preservation Officers in each regional planning agency and the S. C. Federation of Local Historical Societies.
   c) The S. C. Archeological Society
   d) College faculty.

The feeling also was expressed that Advisory Board recognition of priority areas could stimulate local action to protect them.

3. Public Information Projects:
   a) a party
   b) public meetings
   c) a land preservation workshop

4. A fund raising campaign. Dr. Gauthreaux agreed to check on the details of the current Clemson campaign.

Staff is to evaluate these suggestions and advise the Board on their feasibility with respect to time and expense.

ASHLEY RIVER ROAD PROJECT—
After some discussion, Mr. Ahearn reviewed the minutes of past minutes and reported that the Board has not acted on the project. Mr. Lee agreed to mail a proposal and ballot to Board members.

Note: Mr. Lee and Mr. Kohlsaat have subsequently determined that it will not be possible to save time by preparing such a mailing, given that the next meeting is scheduled for March 1. A proposal will be presented at that meeting.

TECHNICAL DEFINITIONS—
Following a presentation by Mr. Kohlsaat some additional questions were raised requiring the assistance of legal counsel. Mr. Kohlsaat is to consult the Attorney General's staff and report back to the Board.

The next meeting dates for the Advisory Board were set for March 1 and June 7.

Adjournment.