

American Woodcock

Scolopax minor

Contributor (2005): Billy Dukes
(SCDNR)

Reviewed and Edited (2012): Billy
Dukes (SCDNR)



DESCRIPTION

Taxonomy and Basic Description

The commonly accepted scientific name of the American Woodcock is *Scolopax minor* (ITIS 2011) as described by Gmelin in 1789. Common names for Woodcock include “timberdoodle”, “bogsucker” and “wood snipe”. They are alternately characterized as marsh birds, shore birds or upland ground birds.

The Woodcock is a mottled brown bird, weighing from 125 to 240 g (4.4 to 8.5 oz.) (Owen and Krohn 1973) and averaging approximately 28 cm (11 in.) in total length (eNature 2012). The unusual physical appearance of the Woodcock is characterized by the bird’s buff-colored body, long beak, bulging eyes, and short wings. The diet of Woodcock consists primarily of earthworms, although other invertebrates and some vegetative matter are also consumed. Woodcock foraging for earthworms is aided by a prehensile beak, which allows Woodcock to grasp earthworms and extract them from below the soil surface (Krementz and Jackson 1999).


Status

The US Shorebird Conservation Plan (USSCP) lists Woodcock as a Species of High Concern and as a species known or thought to be declining (Brown et al. 2004). Woodcock are listed by the US Fish and Wildlife Service as a Game Bird Below Desired Condition (USFWS 2004). They are listed on the Audubon Watchlist “yellow list” of those species that are in slow decline and of national conservation concern (National Audubon Society 2004). Woodcock are identified as apparently secure (S4) in South Carolina and as secure (G5) globally and (NatureServe 2011).


POPULATION SIZE AND DISTRIBUTION

Woodcock are managed on the basis of two regions or populations, eastern and central, as recommended by Owen et al. (1977). Singing ground surveys from the US Fish and Wildlife Service indicate a significant long-term decline of 1.0% annually for Woodcock in the Eastern region (Cooper and Parker 2011).

The range of American Woodcock extends from the Maritime Provinces in southern Canada westward to southeastern Manitoba and southward into eastern



Texas. All states east of this line are within the species' range. The principal breeding range includes New England and the Lake States, although limited breeding occurs throughout almost the entire range (Roberts 1989). The estimated population of Woodcock in North America is approximately 5 million individuals (Brown et al. 2004). North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) data indicate a 1.5 percent annual declining trend in the U.S. between the years 1966 and 2009 (Sauer et al. 2011). Christmas Bird Count data indicate no significant declining trend for South Carolina and North America (National Audubon Society 2012). Christmas Bird Count data also reveal higher wintering populations of Woodcock in the Lower Coastal Plain and Piedmont than in the Upper Coastal Plain of the State. Wintering Woodcock numbers peak between mid-December and mid-February in South Carolina Coastal Plain habitats (Pace and Wood 1979).



HABITAT AND NATURAL COMMUNITY REQUIREMENTS

Unlike most other shorebirds and marsh birds, Woodcock are a forest-dwelling species. Woodcock are often associated with forested wetlands. In the Southeast, alluvial floodplains with a brushy forest understory are apparently a preferred habitat type. Swamp privet (*Forestiera acuminata*), holly (*Ilex* spp.), switch cane (*Arundinaria gigantea*), honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), peppervine (*Ampelopsis arborea*), trumpet creeper (*Campsis radicans*), greenbriar (*Smilax* spp.), and grapes (*Vitis* spp.) have been identified as important understory species (Owen et al. 1977; Roberts 1989). The composition of the tree overstory appears unimportant (Owen et al. 1977).

Old fields, croplands, pasturelands, wet seeps, and damp thickets also provide important habitat for Woodcock on wintering grounds. Old fields and other early successional habitat types are often used as nocturnal habitat and should be located within 230 m (251 yds.) of diurnal habitats (Berdeen and Kremetz 1998). While a high density of plant stems is preferred by Woodcock, open ground underneath the canopy is a necessity to provide easy access to worms and other invertebrates in the ground litter (Roberts 1989; Kremetz and Jackson 1999).

CHALLENGES

Declines in Woodcock populations are currently unexplained, but may be linked to natural forest succession within the breeding range of the species. Bottomland hardwood loss due to reservoir construction, drainage and clearing for agricultural uses and urbanization has negatively

impacted the availability of winter habitat for Woodcock in the Southeast (Straw et al. 1994; Krementz and Jackson 1999).

CONSERVATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Although little research on Woodcock has been conducted in the past 35 years in South Carolina, research projects have been completed on Woodcock habitat selection, food habitat and migration chronology. Woodcock hunter surveys were conducted in 1983 and 1985 to provide information on hunter effort, harvest, and Woodcock age ratios. The Harvest Information Program (HIP) has been fully implemented nationwide and allows a better assessment of Woodcock hunter numbers and harvest in South Carolina. Comprehensive hunter harvest surveys were conducted for all species in South Carolina, including Woodcock, in 2000, 2003, and 2005. Since 1997, Woodcock flushing rates and harvest by South Carolina quail hunters have been tracked through annual quail and rabbit hunter surveys. Several large land acquisitions in South Carolina, notably Wee Tee State Forest, Bonneau Ferry Wildlife Management Area, Woodbury WMA, and Marsh WMA have preserved significant acreages of prime Woodcock wintering habitat. Forestry Best Management Practices (BMPs) now strongly encourage the conservation of Streamside Management Zones (SMZs), which persist as Woodcock wintering habitat following timber harvest operations.

A draft *Interim American Woodcock Harvest Strategy*, which sets regulatory packages and thresholds for regulatory changes, has been developed and implemented (USFWS 2010). Additionally, a strategy which prioritized research and information needs for improving habitat and harvest management decisions for American Woodcock has been developed (Case 2010). Finally, the *American Woodcock Conservation Plan* which identifies Woodcock habitat needs and sets goals for the restoration of breeding and wintering habitat across the range of the species was completed in 2008 (Kelley et al. 2008).

CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

- Focus habitat protection efforts (acquisition and easements) on significant tracts of bottomland hardwoods and isolated forested wetlands to preserve Woodcock wintering areas in South Carolina.
- Implement management practices that promote habitat diversity, like timber harvest and prescribed burning, on SCDNR lands with high potential to provide wintering habitat for Woodcock.
- Maintain early successional upland habitat associated with wetlands conservation efforts (acquisition, easements) to provide roosting habitat for Woodcock. Early-successional habitats can be maintained through prescribed burning.
- Using remote imagery, identify potential areas of Woodcock wintering habitat to guide habitat conservation efforts.
- Identify winter microhabitat requirements for Woodcock in South Carolina.
- Assess population densities of Woodcock on wintering grounds in South Carolina and the state's contribution to range-wide wintering populations.
- Increase the number of yearly Christmas Bird Count (CBC) routes.
- Monitor habitat loss through mapping of landscape-level habitat changes.

- Continue hunter surveys as an assessment of Woodcock hunting effort and harvest.
- Insure that upland buffers are included in the Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP) and similar programs, and that proper management practices are recommended and implemented to provide quality Woodcock habitat.
- Provide Woodcock habitat recommendations to natural resource agencies with management responsibility for extensive acreages of Woodcock habitat.
- Provide Woodcock habitat recommendations to private landowners through on-site technical assistance, fact sheets, management bulletins, and websites.
- Work with USFWS to identify Woodcock hunters in South Carolina and increase hunter participation in the Woodcock parts collection survey.
- Partner with other agencies and organizations such as the US Forest Service, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Clemson University and National Audubon Society (South Carolina Chapter) in order to conduct surveys for Woodcock.
- Provide DNR representation to the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies Migratory Shore and Upland Game Bird Working Group Woodcock Task Force to assist in setting rangewide priorities for Woodcock management, research and monitoring.
- Establish a dedicated funding source for management, research and monitoring of webless migratory game birds (including Woodcock) by combining the Migratory Game Bird (HIP) permit with the Migratory Waterfowl Permit.

MEASURES OF SUCCESS

Following identification of Woodcock winter habitat requirements in South Carolina, experimental habitat manipulations should be conducted to test habitat models. Woodcock flushing rates by rabbit hunters and quail hunters should continue to be monitored through the statewide Rabbit Hunter Survey and Quail Hunter Survey. Christmas Bird Count data should be utilized as a statewide index of wintering Woodcock populations and trends evaluated periodically to determine changes in winter population status. As opportunities arise, directed research should examine changes in wintering Woodcock densities, which occur as a result of incidental or intentional habitat manipulation. Woodcock winter habitat changes should be monitored through remote imagery and mapping.

LITERATURE CITED

- Berdeen, J.B. and D.G. Kremetz. 1998. The use of fields at night by wintering American Woodcock. *J. Wildl. Man.* 62(3):939-947.
- Brown, S., C. Hickey, B. Gill, L. Gorman, C. Gratto-Trevor, S. Haig, B. Harrington, C. Hunter, G. Morrison, G. Page, P. Sanzenbacher, S. Skagen, and N. Warnock. 2004. National Shorebird Conservation Assessment: Shorebird Conservation Status, Conservation Units, Population Estimates, Population Targets, and Species Prioritization. Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences. 50 pp.
- Case, D.J., ed. 2010. Priority Information Needs for American Woodcock: A Funding Strategy. Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies Migratory Shore and Upland Game Bird Support Task Force. 16 pp.

- Cooper, T.R, and K. Parker. 2011. American Woodcock population status, 2011. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Laurel, Maryland. 17 pp.
- eNature.com. 2007. <http://www.enature.com>. Accessed January 13, 2012.
- Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS) on-line database. <http://www.itis.gov>. Accessed January 13, 2012.
- Kelley, J., Williamson, S., and Cooper, T., eds. (2008). American Woodcock Conservation Plan: A Summary of and Recommendations for Woodcock Conservation in North America. The Wildlife Management Institute. 162 pp.
- Krementz, D.G. and J.J. Jackson. 1999. Woodcock in the Southeast: Natural history and management for landowners. Bulletin 1183. University of Georgia Cooperative Extension Service. 16 pp.
- National Audubon Society. 2007. The 2007 Audubon Watchlist. Available at <http://birds.audubon.org/2007-audubon-watchlist>. Accessed January 13, 2012.
- National Audubon Society. 2012. The Christmas Bird Count Historical Results [Online]. Available at <http://birds.audubon.org/christmas-bird-count>. Accessed January 13, 2012.
- NatureServe. 2011. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available at <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. Accessed January 13, 2012.
- Owen, R.B. and W.B. Krohn. 1973. Molt patterns and weight changes of the American Woodcock. Wilson Bull. 85:31-41.
- Owen, R B., Jr., J.M. Anderson, J.W. Artmann, E.R. Clark, T.G. Dilworth, L.E. Gregg, F.W. Martin, J.D. Newsom and S.R. Pursglove, Jr. 1977. American Woodcock. Pp. 149-186. *In: Management of migratory shore and upland game birds in North America*, G.C. Sanderson, Ed. International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. Washington, D.C. 358 pp.
- Pace, R.M., III and G.W. Wood. 1979. Observations of Woodcock wintering in coastal South Carolina. Proc. Annu. Conf. Southeastern Assoc. Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 33:72-80.
- Roberts, T.H. 1989. American Woodcock (*Scolopax minor*): Section 4.1.2, US Army Corps of Engineers Wildlife Resources Management Manual. Technical Report EL-89-5, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, Mississippi. 56 pp.
- Sauer, J. R., J. E. Hines, J. E. Fallon, K. L. Pardieck, D. J. Ziolkowski, Jr., and W. A. Link. 2011. The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966 - 2009. Version

3.23.2011 *USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center*, Laurel, MD. Accessed January 13, 2012.

Straw, J.A, Jr., D.G. Krementz, M.W. Olinde and G.K. Sepik. 1994. American Woodcock. Pp. 149-186. *In: Migratory shore and upland game bird Management in North America*, T.C. Tacha and C.E. Braun, Eds. International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. Washington, D.C. 223 pp.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004. A blueprint for the future of migratory birds: Migratory bird program strategic plan 2004-2014. Washington, D.C. 21 pp.

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2010. Interim American Woodcock Harvest Management Strategy. 11 pp.