

Ribbed Mussel

Geukensia demissa

Contributors (2005): Loren Coen (SCDNR) and Keith Walters (Coastal Carolina University)

Reviewed and Edited (2013): Nancy Hadley and Peter Kingsley-Smith [SCDNR]

DESCRIPTION:

Taxonomy and Basic Description

The ribbed marsh mussel, *Geukensia demissa*, was first described by Dwillyn in 1817. It belongs to the class of mollusks, Bivalvia, whose members have two valves or shells. Within that class, this species is included in a diverse group called 'mussels.' This mussel was formerly placed in the genus *Modiolus*. It is relatively large, growing to nearly 10 cm (4 in.) in length. The surface is grooved with obvious ribs from which its name is derived. It has a glossy brown-black shell, often with some yellow to a bleached white color on the exterior surface; the inner surface is generally white. It has no external siphon; rather it draws water loosely over its gills. The ribbed mussel is distinguished from other South Carolina intertidal mussels by its oblong shape, parallel dorsal and ventral margins, very close exterior ridges, and the lack of teeth on the hinge. The other common mussels in South Carolina, *Brachydontes exustus* (scorched mussel) and *Ischadium recurvum* (hooked mussel), differ in several aspects. Both of these species reach sizes as adults of no more than 2.5cm to 5 cm (1-2 in.). Furthermore, the scorched mussel has a higher divergent angle from the umbo than the ribbed mussel, small cardinal teeth on the hinge, and its shell is thicker and stouter than that of the ribbed mussel. Mussels are characterized by byssal threads, sticky filaments that are used to stabilize themselves when they attach to a substrate.



<http://www.jaxshells.org/gdim.htm>



Mussels are known as filter feeders. When submerged, mussels "pump" water over their gills where particles are either selected for passage into the digestive system or rejected and passed out as pseudofeces. Ribbed mussels are one of the few bivalves able to forage on small-sized bacterioplankton (Newell and Kambeck 1995; Kreeger et al. 1990); most bivalves only consume larger phytoplankton. At low tide, the valves typically remain tightly closed to conserve water. Lent (1967, 1969), however, showed that ribbed mussels exposed at low tide are capable of respiring by "air-gaping."

Ribbed mussels are aged by counting annual growth ribs on the shell (Brousseau 1982). Shell growth is greatest in late summer in the Northeast. Ribbed mussels are not hermaphroditic; their sex can be determined by the color of the mantle. Females tend to be a medium brown whereas

males are a yellowish-cream color. Reproduction usually occurs in spring and peaks by midsummer. Mussels are not known to spawn more than once in a season.

Status

The ribbed mussel is not a Federally-listed species; however, it is an ecologically important species in marine ecosystems. Filter feeders improve water quality and affect nutrient cycling in estuarine habitats, especially with regard to the water-column microbiota community structure. Ribbed mussels are also important because they increase structural complexity of the habitat, both as living and dead animals (Kuenzler 1961; Bertness 1980; Jordan and Valiela 1982; Bertness and Grosholz 1985; Borrero 1987; Borrero and Hilbish 1988; Kemp et al. 1990; Stiven and Gardner 1992; Franz 1993, 1997).

POPULATION SIZE AND DISTRIBUTION

The ribbed mussel can be found in coastal waters from the Gulf of St. Lawrence to Texas. In South Carolina, the ribbed mussel is found in and amongst fringing marsh grasses and intertidal oyster reefs where they can be found in numbers over 1,500 per m² (139 per ft.²) (Coen et al. 1999; Luckenbach et al. 2005). Although the actual population size of ribbed mussels is unknown for South Carolina, this species is assumed to be very abundant and forms rather dense colonies (Franz 2001). Unlike oysters, ribbed mussels have the ability to reattach if dislodged, providing this species with more opportunities to respond to disturbance. Marsh mussels do not seem to be declining in South Carolina; however, little is known about their populations here compared to elsewhere. As marshes and oyster reefs decline, one can assume that these mussels will also decline.

HABITAT AND NATURAL COMMUNITY REQUIREMENTS

The ribbed mussel is a common filter feeder within South Carolina intertidal habitats including marshes, on pilings, or within oyster reefs (Coen et al. 1999, 2004; Coen and Luckenbach 2000; Luckenbach et al. 2005). Ribbed mussels attach by byssal threads to any hard substrate like oyster shells and cordgrass stems and protrude above the surface. Typically, one can find ribbed mussels embedded in and amongst salt marsh sediments attached by byssal threads to each other and/or to *Spartina* stalks. Ribbed mussels can be found throughout the mid- to low-intertidal elevations in most southeastern estuaries. Upper intertidal limits are determined by both exposure to high temperatures and limited food availability during the longer periods of tidal exposure. Lower intertidal limits are determined by the availability of effective refuge, mainly from crab predators. Although growth rates decline at higher shore levels, this is offset by increased survival (Bertness 1980; Bertness and Grosholz 1985; Stiven and Gardner 1992; Franz 2001).

CHALLENGES

Habitat loss and the pollution associated with coastal development are the main challenges to conserving ribbed mussel populations. The ability of mussels to survive in a variety of habitats that provide both the appropriate attachment sites and refuge from predators will ameliorate, but

not eliminate, the effects of habitat loss. Salt marshes and oyster reefs, representing two habitats that support significant mussel populations, are experiencing both quantitative and qualitative declines in many Mid-Atlantic states (Kelly 2001; Kirby 2004). Additionally, the anthropogenic development of terrestrial-marsh ecotones will change hydrodynamics at the wetland boundary, likely altering patterns of fresh water flow in high- and mid-marsh locations (Walters et al., unpub. data). The increased survival as a result of reduced predation in higher marsh elevations may be offset by decreased survival from changes in land-based freshwater flow regimes.

Mussels have frequently been used for pollution assessment studies (O'Connor 2002; Hellou and Law 2003). "Mussel Watch" programs, in which mussels (typically *Mytilus* spp.) and oysters are used as valuable bioindicators of pollutant exposures and effects, have been used by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA) in the United States and in a variety of countries throughout the world. Mussels are regarded as especially valuable bioindicator organisms because they readily accumulate pollutants in their tissues, and a number of valuable cellular biomarker approaches have been developed for characterizing the adverse effects of these contaminants on these organisms. Comparative studies with oysters have demonstrated some similarities in mussel bioaccumulation and biomarker responses, but these studies also suggest that marsh mussels may be more sensitive to pollutants. Therefore, marsh mussels may be particularly important as bioindicators of pollutants in marsh grass habitats where oysters are less common. Moreover, since *Mytilus* spp. do not occur in the Southeastern US, *Geukensia demissa* may be a valuable alternative mussel species. Research conducted in South Carolina (e.g. Ringwood 1999) and elsewhere (e.g. Ford et al. 2005) suggests that the ribbed mussel is an excellent subject to evaluate sediment contamination impacts. The results in South Carolina for the ribbed mussel were very similar to those observed previously by Ringwood et al. (1998a; 1998b; 1999a; 1999b) for *Crassostrea virginica*. Cellular 'biomarkers' appear to be extremely useful in assessing contaminant sublethal exposures under both field and laboratory conditions.

Ribbed mussels are occasionally harvested both recreationally and commercially in South Carolina and this practice seems to be increasing (N. Hadley, pers. obs.). SCDNR shellfish seasons and shellfish ground designations apply to ribbed mussels, but there are no harvest limits. Some residents have expressed concern that mussel harvesting from saltmarsh edges damages the marsh and exacerbates erosion.

CONSERVATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The SCDNR annually samples natural and restored oyster reefs throughout the State, using quadrants in which mussels are counted as well. Mussels seem to respond well to artificial structures such as docks, causeways, and impoundments; however, their overall effect on mussel densities within the estuarine systems is unknown.

CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

- Document the distribution of mussels in the nearshore environment and develop models to predict their future distribution.

- Evaluate reef contributions to overall mussel populations by studying interactions between mussels and oyster reef population dynamics and the role of mussels in reef development.
- Study ribbed mussel contributions to the nutrient dynamics of salt marshes and the possible role of ribbed mussels in the restoration of marsh habitats.
- Develop ways to use mussels as a bioassay for determining pollution and as a potential indicator of the functional health of communities (e.g. oyster reefs, salt marshes) and ecosystems.
- Partner with local governments and the SC Department of Environmental Health and Control (SCDHEC) to deter development in sensitive areas where development will have negative effects on oyster and mussel populations.
- Implement and improve Best Management Practices (BMPs) in future urban and commercial developments to protect water quality.
- Enhance/expand SCDNR habitat restoration and enhancement programs to consider benefits to ribbed mussels.
- Continue annual survey efforts directed towards oyster and mussel populations throughout the coastal region of South Carolina.
- Develop a management plan for ribbed mussels and/or live bottom habitats in South Carolina.

MEASURES OF SUCCESS

Given that mussels are excellent bioindicators of water quality, monitoring mussel and oyster reefs will yield information about the success of efforts to improve water quality. In addition, by implementing the conservation actions listed above, the SCDNR will be able to encourage stable, or even increasing, populations of ribbed mussels in South Carolina that will be documented during annual sampling of oyster reefs.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bertness, M.D. 1980. Growth and mortality in the ribbed mussel *Geukensia demissa* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae). *The Veliger* 23:62-69.
- Bertness, M.D. and E. Grosholz. 1985. Population dynamics of the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa*: the costs and benefits of an aggregated distribution. *Oecologia* 67:192-204.
- Borrero, F.J. 1987. Tidal height and gametogenesis: reproductive variation among populations of *Geukensia demissa*. *Biological Bulletin* 173:160-168.
- Borrero, F.J. and T.J. Hilbish. 1988. Temporal variation in shell and soft tissue growth of the mussel *Geukensia demissa*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 42:9-15.
- Brousseau, D.J. 1982. Gametogenesis and spawning in a population of *Geukensia demissa* (Pelecypoda: Mytilidae) from Westport, Connecticut. *The Veliger* 24: 247-251.

- Coen, L.D., D.M. Knott, E.L. Wenner, N.H. Hadley, A.H. Ringwood. 1999. Intertidal oyster reef studies in South Carolina: design, sampling and experimental focus for evaluating habitat value and function. *In: M.W. Luckenbach, R. Mann, J.A. Wesson (eds.), Oyster Reef Habitat Restoration: A Synopsis and Synthesis of Approaches.* Virginia Institute of Marine Science Press. Gloucester Point, Virginia. pp 131-156.
- Coen, L.D. and M.W. Luckenbach. 2000. Developing success criteria and goals for evaluating oyster reef restoration: ecological function or resource exploitation? *Ecological Engineering* 15:323-343.
- Coen, L.D., M. Bolton-Warberg, Y. Bobo, D. Richardson, A.H. Ringwood and G.I. Scott. 2004. Oyster Beds. *In: R.F. Van Dolah, D.M. Sanger, and A.B. Filipowicz (eds.). A baseline assessment of environmental and biological conditions in the May River, Beaufort County, South Carolina: Final Report submitted to the Town of Bluffton.* pp. 127-147.
- Ford, F., J. Jay, A. Patel, M. Kile, P. Prommasith, T. Galloway, R. Sanger, K. Smith and M. Depledge. 2005. Use of ecotoxicological tools to evaluate the health of New Bedford Harbor sediments: a microbial biomarker approach. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113:186-191.
- Franz, D.R. 1993. Allometry of shell and body growth in ribbed mussels (*Geukensia demissa*) in relation to shore level. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 174:193-207.
- Franz, D. R. 1997. Resource allocation in the intertidal salt-marsh mussel *Geukensia demissa* in relation to shore level. *Estuaries* 20:134-148.
- Franz, D.R. 2001. Recruitment, survivorship, and age structure of a New York ribbed mussel population (*Geukensia demissa*) in relation to shore level - a nine year study. *Estuaries* 24:319-327.
- Hellou, J. and R.J. Law. 2003. Stress on stress response of wild mussels, *Mytilus edulis* and *Mytilus trossulus*, as an indicator of ecosystem health. *Environmental Pollution* 126:407-416.
- Jordan, T.E. and I. Valiela. 1982. A nitrogen budget of the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa*, and its significance in nitrogen flow in a New England salt marsh. *Limnology and Oceanography* 27:75-90.
- Kelly, N.M. 2001. Changes in the landscape pattern of coastal North Carolina wetlands under the Clean Water Act, 1984-1992. *Landscape Ecology* 16:3-16.
- Kemp, P.F., S.Y. Newall, and C. Krambeck. 1990. Effects of filter-feeding by the ribbed mussel *Geukensia demissa* on the water-column microbiota of *Spartina alterniflora* saltmarsh. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 50:119-131.

- Kirby, M.X. 2004. Fishing down the coast: Historical expansion and collapse of oyster fisheries along continental margins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101:13096-13099.
- Kreeger, D.A., R.I.E. Newell and C.J. Langdon. 1990. Effect of tidal exposure on utilization of dietary lignocellulose by the ribbed mussel *Geukensia demissa* (Dillwyn) (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 144:85-100.
- Kuenzler, E.J. 1961. Structure and energy flow of a mussel population in a Georgia salt marsh. *Limnology and Oceanography* 6:191-204.
- Lent, C.M. 1967. Effects and adaptive significance of air-gaping by the ribbed mussel, *Modiolis (Arcuatula) demissus* (Dillwyn). Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA.
- Lent, C.M. 1969. Adaptations of the ribbed mussel, *Modiolis demissus* (Dillwyn), to the intertidal habitat. *American Zoologist* 9:283-292.
- Luckenbach, M.W., L.D. Coen, P. G. Ross, Jr., and J.A. Stephen. 2005. Oyster reef habitat restoration: relationships between oyster abundance and community development based on two studies in Virginia and South Carolina. *Journal of Coastal Research* [Special Issue] 40:64-78.
- Newell, S.Y. and C. Krambeck. 1995. Responses of bacterioplankton to tidal inundations of a saltmarsh in a flume and adjacent mussel enclosures. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 190:79-95.
- O'Connor, T.P. 2002. National distribution of chemical concentrations in mussels and oysters in the USA. *Marine Environmental Research* 53:117-143.
- Ringwood, A.H., D.E. Connors and J. Hoguet. 1998a. The effects of natural and anthropogenic stressors on lysosomal destabilization in oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 166:163-171.
- Ringwood, A.H., D.E. Connors and A. DiNovo. 1998b. The effects of copper exposures on cellular responses in oysters. *Marine Environmental Research* 46:591-595.
- Ringwood, A.H., D.E. Connors and C.J. Keppler. 1999a. Cellular responses of oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, to metal-contaminated sediments. *Marine Environmental Research* 48:427-437.
- Ringwood, A.H., D.E. Connors, C.J. Keppler and A. DiNovo. 1999b. Biomarker studies with juvenile oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) deployed in-situ. *Biomarkers* 4:400-414.

Ringwood, A.H. 1999. Preliminary studies regarding the use of cellular responses in marsh mussels, *Geukensia demissa*, as potentially valuable indicators of habitat condition. Final Report, SC Sea Grant "Seed" Project, 18 pp.

Stiven, A.E. and S.A. Gardner. 1992. Population processes in the ribbed mussel *Geukensia demissa* (Dillwyn) in a North Carolina salt marsh tidal gradient: spatial pattern, predation, growth and mortality. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 160:81-102.